Pending Irrigation Projects in Rajasthan

1841. SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to refer to Unstarred Question 819 answered in the Rajya Sabha on 2-1-91 and state:

(a) the number of Irrigation projects from Rajasthan pending for consideration with Central Government; and

(b) what is the action taken for sanctioning these projects for early completion?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI VIDYACHARAN SHUKLA): (a) and (b) A statement regarding irrigation projects of Rajasthan is attached. *(See* below).

Statement

	Name of the Project	Date of receipt	Status of appraisal and action taken for early clearance
A.	*Major*		
1.	Jai samond Modernisation	7/89	The State Government is asked to furnish additional information for the final note for Advisory Committee.
2.	Narmada Canal	7/90	Note for consideration of Advisory Committee finalised in 6/91.
B.	*Medium*		
3.	Gararda Irrigation	3/90	The State is advised to comply with the observations of Central Appraising Agencies.
4.	Bandi Sendra	3/90	The modified project was examined and State Government is advised to supply information as acceptable to Advisory Committee.
5 .	Sulci i	11/90	State Government is required to furnish compliance to observations on financial aspects.
6.	Gambhiri Modernisation .	8/89	The project has been techno-econamically appraised. The Rajasthan State Government is advised to comply with theobservations of the Planning Commission on allocation of funds.

Attachnttnt of a restaurant coach with pantry

1842. SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA: Wil! the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to attach a restaurant coach alongwith pantry in most of trains to provide warm and better food to the travelling public;

(b) if so, by what time this facility would be provided; and

(c) if not, whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to provide better quality of food in most trains and the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (SHRI MALLIKARJUN): (a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Railways always strive to improve the quality of food and service. This is an ongoing and continuous process. Steps taken/proposed to be taken include, introduction of casserole service, modernisation of base kitchens, training to catering staff, introduction of pantry car service, regular/surprise inspections, etc.

Mosquito repellants etc. under purview of Central Insecticides Board

1843. SHRI SHAMIM HASHMI: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of mosquito repellants, coils, creams etc. with pesticides are on the market and if so, whether these have been cleared by the Central Insecticides Board and if not, the reasons therefor;

(b) whether a thorough analysis has been made and record kept of pesticides used so and if so, the details thereof*, and

(c) whether Government propose to bring all these products under the Insecticide Act and apply all consumer protection laws to these products dangers of which are not fully understood or known?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRl MULLAPPALLY RAMACHANDRAN) (a) Some of the mosquito mats/coils available in the market as repellants having pesticides, have been registered by the Registration Committee constituted under the Insecticides Act, 1968. Repellant creams, however, are not registered as they do not come under the purview of the Act.

(b) Yes, Sir. The mosquito repellants in the form of mats or coils containing pesticides are registered by the Registration Committee after evaluating the **data** on different set parameters as generated by the industry, and after satisfying itself regarding their safety to human beings and animals.

(c) Mosquito repellants and coils except for creams, having pesticides, **are** covered under the Insecticides Act, and goods, as defined in the Sale of Goads Act, 1930, which suffer from one or more defects are covered under the Con. sumer Protection Act, 1968.

Claims made by NDDB officers

1844. SHRI SHAMIM HASHMI: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the claims made by NDDB Chairman for blowing up NDDB's 10 oilseeds plants as appeared in the 'Times of India' dated the 13th July, 1991;

(b) whether Government are also aware of the similar claims aimed at publicity made by NDDB since 1970 in respect of 2 other senior staff; and

(c) whether a High Powered enquiry would be conducted from the above 3 involved persons to get at the truth and for taking corrective steps?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRl MULLAPPALY RAMACHANDRAN): (a) NDDB received an annonymous letter threatening to blow up all the oil packaging stations involved in packaging NDDB's product "Dhara". The letter further contained a threat to the life of the Chairman, NDDB and bis family.

(b) There have been no claims aimed at publicity by the NDDB.

(c) In view of (b) above, the ques-tion does not arise.

Thefts and piferage in trains

1845. SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS bo pleased to state:

(a) whether thefts and pilferage of railway materials and booked consignments are on increase despite manifold increase in the strength of R.P.F.